

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,311	12/07/2001	John D. McLemore	31312R010	7928

06/02/2003 7590

SMITH, GAMBRELL &RUSSELL, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SUITE 800 1850 M STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER	
 ALEXANDER, REGINALD	

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT 1761

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Dan &			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/005,311	MCLEMORE, JOHN D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIC SET TO EVOIDE 2 MO	NTH(S) FROM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	<u> April 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	_				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-27,31-39,53 and 54</u> is/are all					
6) Claim(s) <u>14,28-30,40,42-47 and 49-52</u> is/are r	rejected.				
7) Claim(s) 41 and 48 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Ap	plication No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has be	en received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7	5) 🔲 Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/005,311

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

five Burbour

Claims 14, 21, 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being, 28, 29, 30, 40, 41, 42, 43 unpatentable over Rains in view of Rigney.

There is disclosed in Rains a cooking device, comprising a perforated basket "C"; a bailing handle "H" engaged with the basket; a cooking pot "A"; and a basket support (capture device) "S" for engaging an upper edge of the cooking pot. In regards to the "grasp hook capture portion" recited in claim 1, it is evident that the bailing handle has a portion which can be grasp by a hook. No specific structural arrangement has be defined by the recitation.

Rains discloses the claimed subject matter except for a flow/no-flow drain off device. Rigney discloses that it is known in the art to provide a flow/no-flow drain off valve 26 at the lower end of a cooking pot. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the cooking pot of Rains with the drain off valve disclosed in Rigney, in order to remove liquid from the pot without having to carry or tilt the pot.

Allowable Subject Matter

28,29,30

Claims 1-13, 15-27, 31-39, 53 and 54 are allowed.

40

42-47

49.52

0 11 40

Art Unit: 1761

Claims and 48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 16, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that one of ordinary skill in the art would not modify the Rains apparatus due to its shape and use. It has been held that the test of obviousness is not whether the features of one reference may be bodily incorporated into the other to produce the claimed subject matter but simply what the combination of references makes obvious to one of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. The teaching of a drain valve for a cooking vessel is shown in Rigney to be known in the art. The use of a drain valve to allow for the easy removal of a cooking liquid from a vessel is also made clear in Rigney.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/005,311

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-1594. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

rla June 2, 2003 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761 Regards L. Alefand